

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/911,304	07/23/2001	Patrick J. MeLampy	050115-1070	3506	
24504	7590 04/08/2005	0 04/08/2005		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW			CHOU, ALBERT T		
STE 1750	,,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA,	GA 30339-5948	2662			
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	₹	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/911,304	MELAMPY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A. 1999	Albert T. Chou	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply————————————————————————————————————	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ıl <u>y 2001</u> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,9-20,23-33 and 37-44 is/are rejected to the company of the c	wn from consideration. sted. o.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 20 and 25 recite the limitation "said processor" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 9-19, 23-33 and 37-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ma et al. (US Patent Number: 6,775,280), hereinafter referred to as Ma.

Regarding claim 1, Ma teaches a router network 20 (Figure 1; col. 5, lines 7-10), which can carry video packet (Col. 9, lines 34-35; <u>A method for providing rapid rerouting of real-time multi-media data flows</u>), comprising the steps of:

Device 22 receives data packets (Figure 1; col. 5, lines 49-56; <u>receiving a</u>
 <u>data packet at a first endpoint</u>), from Nodes C, F, A, B or E (Figure 1; col. 5,
 lines 56-58; <u>a second endpoint</u>), having an assigned QoS, source and
 destination information;

- Device 22 scans the source and destination fields to determine the source and intended destination of the packet (Figure 5, step 102; col. 10, lines 42-46; determining a source address and a destination address from said data packet);
- Device 22 selects a set of compliant paths from all paths extending from the
 Device 22 based on the assigned QoS, the Policy 52, and the Network
 Topology Information 72 which includes Hop Data 88 and Propagation Delay
 Data 90 (Figure 4, figure 5, step 110; col. 10, lines 58-63; determining a
 forwarding destination if more than one destination address of said data
 packet is provided).

Regarding claims 2, 16 and 30, it is well defined in ISO/ITU/CCITT or TCP/IP standards that the lower three layers, which handle the datagrams, are physical layer (layer 1 or level 1), data link layer (layer 2 or level 2) and network/packet layer (layer 3 or level 3) respectively. The source and destination addresses, such as IP datagram, are defined in IP header fields (layer 3). It is inherent to any routing devices, such as routers, to first remove/insert the layer 2 data link header before it forwards/passes down the received datagram or frame to the higher (layer 3)/lower layer (layer 1) for further processing.

Regarding claims 3, 4, 17, 18, 31 and 32, it is inherent that a header of level 2 or layer 2, which has the exactly same meaning as level 2, is a link protocol header according to ISO/ITU/CCITT or TCP/IP standards.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,304

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claims 5, 19 and 33, Ma teaches that Device 22 can receive a video packet, which includes QoS delay, delay bound, etc. (Figure 4; col. 9, lines 34-37; <u>data packet is a real-time protocol data flow packet</u>).

Regarding 9, 20 and 34, Ma teaches Control Circuit 44 (Figure 2) which scans the source and destination fields to determine the source and intended destination of the packet (Figure 5, step 102; col. 10, lines 42-46; <u>determining a forwarding destination</u>) and selects a set of compliant paths from all paths extending from the Device 22 based on the assigned QoS, the Policy 52, and the Network Topology Information 72 which includes Hop Data 88 and Propagation Delay Data 90 (Figure 4; figure 5, step 110; col. 10, lines 58-63; <u>determining and analyzing flow quality statistics for each of said destination addresses</u>).

Regarding claims 10, 24 and 38, Ma teaches at least a portion of the QoS field 60 of the Packet 30 includes, as the QoS Delay 86, a delay bound (a maximum amount of time available for the Packet 30 to reach its intended destination, i.e., Source/Destination 84) (Figure 4; col. 9, 35-39). Ma further teaches the Policy Stage 24 analyzes the Hop data (e.g., available paths to NODE D), the Propagation Delay Data 90 (e.g., the delays through each path or node along each path), and the Policy 52 (e.g., the port corresponding to output port 42-1 requires at least 50% general data packet bandwidth) (Figure 4; col. 9, 40-43; <u>step of performing traffic measurement on said received data packet</u>).

Regarding claims 11, 25 and 39, Ma teaches the Efficiency Stage 26 selects one of the compliant paths from the set of Compliant Paths on the List 94 based on the

Art Unit: 2662

Network Efficiency Information 54 (Figure 4; col. 9, lines 66-67). The Network Efficiency Information includes the Network Load Information 74 describing network traffic at the output ports, cost, security, delay and bandwidth capacity of the Device 22 (Figure 4, col. 10, line 1-4; step of applying QoS characteristics to said packet). Ma further teaches that suppose the Load Information 74 indicates that the Network 20 is more congested at output port 42-1 (Figure 1, through which path BD passes) than that at output port 42-2 (Figure 1, through which path CD passes). The Efficiency Stage 26 could then select path CD over path BD in compliance of a network efficiency constraint (Figures 1 & 4; col. 10, line 9-14; allows for guaranteed bandwidth for transmission of said data packet within a data flow).

Regarding claims 12, 26 and 40, Ma teaches the Device 22 includes a Policy Stage 24 and an Efficiency Stage 26 that enable the deice to provide different QoS to the host computers, e.g. Node D, by routing data in a manner that satisfies both QoS policy and network efficiency constraints (Figures 2-4; col. 5, lines 51-56; <u>step of applying quality of service characteristics provides for policing and shaping of said data flow</u>).

Regarding claims 13, 14, 27, 28, 41 and 42, Ma teaches the network 20 includes a variety of devices, such as host computer and routers (Figure 1; col. 5, lines 7-10). The IP specification sates that routers must accept datagrams up to the maximum of the MTUs of networks to which they attach. It is inherent to a router's (an endpoint like Device 22) IP software as in Ma's invention to choose an initial datagram size and

Application/Control Number: 09/911,304

Art Unit: 2662

arrange a way to divide large datagrams into smaller pieces (<u>the step of fragmenting</u>) when the datagram needs to traverse a network that has smaller MTU.

Regarding claims 15 and 43, Ma teaches a router network 20 system (Figure 1; col. 5, lines 7-10), which can carry video packet (Col. 9, lines 34-35; <u>A method for providing rapid rerouting of real-time multi-media data flows</u>), comprising a Device 22 (Figure 1; col. 5, lines 49-56; <u>a first endpoint</u>), connected Nodes C, F, A, B and E (Figure 1; col. 5, lines 56-58; <u>a second endpoint</u>), which further comprises:

- Multiple input ports 40-0 to 40-M and output ports 42-0 to 42-N (Figure 2; col.
 6, lines 27-29; <u>a transceiver</u>);
- Multi-Stage Routing Decision 26, Policy Table 66, Network Topology Table 68
 and Network Efficiency Table 70 (Figure 3; col. 8, lines 1-4; <u>Software stored</u>
 <u>within said first endpoint defining functions to be performed by said first</u>
 endpoint); and
- Multi-stage Control Circuit 44 (Figure 2; col. 6, lines 48-49; <u>a processor; a controller</u>) which scans the source and destination fields to determine the source and intended destination of the packet (Figure 5, step 102; col. 10, lines 42-46; <u>determining a source address and a destination address from said data packet</u>) and selects a set of compliant paths from all paths extending from the Device 22 based on the assigned QoS, the Policy 52, and the Network Topology Information 72 which includes Hop Data 88 and Propagation Delay Data 90 (Figure 4; figure 5, step 110; col. 10, lines 58-63;

determining a forwarding destination if more than one destination address of said data packet is provided).

Regarding claim 44, Ma teaches the elements of the Control Circuit 44 are implemented in hardware as actual circuits (Col. 12, lines 38-40; <u>said the controller is located within an application specific integrated circuit</u>).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 6-8, 21-22, 34-35 and 36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Albert T. Chou whose telephone number is 571-272-6045. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,304

Art Unit: 2662

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AC

Albert T. Chou

March 24, 2005

CHAU NGUYEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Charle T. Pfinan

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600